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Desert Passions Hsu-Ming Teo 2012-11-15 The Sheik-E. M. Hull's best-selling novel and its film starring Rudolph Valentino-kindled "sheik fever" across the Western world in the 1920s. A craze for all things romantically "Oriental" swept through fashion, film, and literature, spawning imitations and parodies without number. While that fervor has largely subsided, tales of passion between Western women and Arab men continue to enthral readers of today's mass-market romance novels. In this groundbreaking cultural history, Hsu-Ming Teo traces the literary lineage of these desert romances and historical bodice rippers from the twelfth to the twenty-first century and explores the gendered cultural and political purposes that they have served at various historical moments. Drawing on "high" literature, erotica, and popular romance fiction and films, Teo examines the changing meanings of Orientalist tropes such as crusades and conversion, abduction by Barbary pirates, sexual slavery, the fear of renegades, and fantasies of escape from the harem. She analyzes the impact of imperialism, decolonization, sexual liberation, feminism, and changing American involvement in the Middle East on women's Orientalist fiction. Teo suggests that the rise of female-authored romance novels dramatically transformed the nature of Orientalism because it feminized the discourse; made white women central as producers, consumers, and imagined actors; and, revised, reversed, or collapsed the binaries inherent in traditional analyses of Orientalism.
Unwelcome Americans Ruth Wallis Herndon 2001 Selected by Choice magazine as an Outstanding Academic Title for 2001 In eighteen-century America, no centralized system of welfare existed to assist people who found themselves without food, medical care, or shelter. Any poor relief available was provided through local taxes, and these funds were quickly exhausted. By the end of the century, state and national taxes levied to help pay for the Revolutionary War further strained municipal budgets. In order to control homelessness, vagrancy, and poverty, New England towns relied heavily on the "warning out" system inherited from English law. This was a process in which community leaders determined the legitimate hometown of unwanted persons or families in order to force them to leave, ostensibly to return to where they could receive care. The warning-out system alleviated the expense and responsibility for the general welfare of the poor in any community, and placed the burden on each town to look after its own. But homelessness and poverty were problems as onerous in early America as they are today, and the system of warning out did little to address the fundamental causes of social disorder. Ultimately the warning-out system gave way to the establishment of general poorhouses and other charities. But the documents that recorded details about the lives of those who were warned out provide an extraordi— Unwelcome Americans puts a human face on poverty in early America by recovering the stories of forty New Yorkers who were forced to leave various communities in Rhode Island. Rhode Island towns kept better and more complete warning-out records than other towns, but the materials still come too late for the families and people who had migrated to Rhode Island from other places, these documents can be relied upon to describe the experiences of poor people across the region. The stories are organized from birth to death, beginning with the lives of poor children and young adults, followed by families and single adults, and ending with the testimonies of the elderly and dying. Through meticulous research of historical records, Herndon has managed to recover voices that have not been heard for more than two hundred years, in the process painting a dramatically different picture of family and community life in early New England. These life stories tell us that those who were warned out were predominantly unmarried women with or without children, Native Americans, African Americans, and destitute families. Through this remarkable reconstruction, Herndon provides a corrective to the narratives of the privileged that have dominated the conversation in this crucial period of American history, and the lives she chronicles give greater depth and a richer dimension to our understanding of the growth of American social responsibility.
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Without a Tear Mark H. Bernstein 2004-06-02 In Without a Tear Mark H. Bernstein
begins with one of our most common and cherished moral beliefs: that it is wrong
to intentionally and gratuitously inflict harm on the innocent. Over the course of
the book, he shows how this apparently innocent commitment requires that we
dismiss many of our most common practices involving nonhuman animals.
Most people who write about our ethical obligations concerning animals base their
arguments on emotional appeals or contentious philosophical assumptions. Bernstein, however, argues from reasons but carries little theoretical baggage. He
considers the issues in a religious context, where he finds that Judaism in particular has the resources to ground moral obligations to animals. Without a Tear also makes novel use of feminist ethics to add to the case for drawing
thetic changes to some of our most basic animal institutions. The tightly argued,
book's main concern is with the way we treat nonhuman animals in our moral thinking. Bernstein argues that our ethical obligations to animals arise from a different
in other critical contexts, whether the lawmakers recognized the individual
masters' rights to treat their slaves as they wished. These regulations advanced
rules concerning the murder of slaves by their masters and at how these laws were
enforced not only in the United States but also in ancient Roman, Visigoth, Spanish, Portuguese, and British
jurisdictions. His comparative approach reveals how legal reforms regarding slave
homicide in antebellum times, like past reforms dictated by emperors and kings,
were the products of changing perceptions of the interests of the public; of the interests of the public; of the interests of the public; of the interests of the public; of the interests of the public; of the
cases in which the lawmakers recognized slaves as people legitimized slavery's
inhumanity. References in the law to the legal humanity of people held as slaves are shown to be rhetorical devices and cruel ironies that regulated the relative
rights of the slaves’ owners and other free people that were embodied in people held as slaves. Thus, it is argued that it never makes sense to think of slave
laws as a way to regulate the relative weights between masters' rights to treat their slaves as they wished. This regulatory agenda even when the lawmakers regulated the individual
masters' rights to treat their slaves as they wished. These regulations advanced
policies that the lawmakers perceived to be in the public interest within the
context of a slave society.
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